

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,232	12/05/2000	Alexander I. Poltorak	MI/AP00	7918	
7590 06/23/2004			EXAMINER		
IP Wealth. com, Inc			MOONEYHAM, JANICE A		
Montebello Park 75 Montebello Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suffern, NY 10901			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

17.		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
$\mathcal{O}$		09/730,232		POLTORAK, ALEXANDER I.				
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Jan Moone	yham	3629	MU			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	,							
2a) <u></u> ∏ Ti 3)∏ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u> nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This note this application is in condition for alloward based in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is no	n-final. or formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4a 5)□ Cl 6)□ Cl 7)□ Cl	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-87 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
10)∐ Th Ar Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and a splicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the content of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) drawing(s) be ion is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice o 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	D-152)			

Art Unit: 3629

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-53, 66-72 and 86-87, drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 54-56, drawn to a method of evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
- III. Claims 57-65, drawn to a method and system for submitting a listing of goods and services, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
- IV. Claims 73 and 74, drawn to a method and system for building an intellectual property database, classified in class 707, subclass 1.
- Claims 75-80, drawn to a method for purchasing an item, classified in class 705,
   subclass 26.
- VI. Claims 81-85, drawn to a method for placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and II are not related because invention I is drawn to a method of searching intellectual property listings and invention II is drawn to a method of evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 3629

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and III are not related because invention I is drawn to a method of searching intellectual property listings from the buyer or consumer perspective and invention III is drawn to a method and system for submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller's perspective.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and IV are not related because invention I is drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings and invention IV is drawn to a method and system for building an intellectual property database.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and V are not related because I is drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings and V is drawn to a method for purchasing an item.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and VI are different because I is drawn to a method and system of searching intellectual property listings and VI is drawn to a method of placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities from the seller's side.

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions comparing online auctions and exchanges and searching intellectual property listings from the buyer side.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges and submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side..

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and building an IP database.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and purchasing an item form the buyer side.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Art Unit: 3629

inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities from the seller side.

Inventions III and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions III and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and building an intellectual property database.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and purchasing an item from the buyer side.

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions IV and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions IV and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions IV and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 3629

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and purchasing an item from the buyer side.

Page 7

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions V and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions V and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions V and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and submitting a listing of goods and services.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 8

Inventions V and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and building an intellectual property database.

Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions VI and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions VI and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions VI and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Art Unit: 3629

inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and submitting a listing of goods and services.

Page 9

Inventions VI and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and building an intellectual property database.

Inventions VI and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and purchasing an item.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, III, IV, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 10

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, II, IV, V, or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group I, II, III, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Group I, II, III, IV, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group VI is not required for Group I, II, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan Mooneyham whose telephone number is (703) 305-8554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN G. WEISS

mil

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

JМ